

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/882,061	06/18/2001	Izumi Takemoto	P66783US0	1762

136 7590 04/16/2003

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER
BOYD, JENNIFER A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1771

DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-	
		Application No.	F/LE Applicant(s)	49
Office Action Summary		09/882,061	TAKEMOTO, IZUMI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jennifer A Boyd	1771	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address	_
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Noison of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  SX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication peniod for reply specified above it sets than thint; (30) days, a rep- peniod for reply specified above it sets than thint; (30) days, a rep- peniod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peniod  to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut,  getly recovered by the Office attent than three months after the mailing  of patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. 1704(9)	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS c, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	June 2001		
2a)∏		his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-3}$ is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🤈	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disar	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
121	A classical advances for according to the last few		0(-) (-) (6)	

13)🖂	Acknowledgment is	made of a claim	for foreign	priority under	35 U.	S.C.	§ 119(a)-	·(d) or (f
------	-------------------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	-------	------	-----------	------------

a) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

21	informa	tion Dine

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/882,061

Art Unit: 1771

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-3, in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1 - 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellis (GB 2,203,342 A).

As to claim 1, Ellis teaches a metallic material made of gold wire (page 3, paragraphs 2 and 3) known in the art to be a noble metal. The gold wire can be woven to create the metallic material (page 3, paragraph 3). Wires can be considered to be monofilaments because they consist of a single strand.

As to claim 3, Ellis teaches that the gold wire can be a gold alloy (page 3, paragraph 2).

As to claims 1 and 2, although Ellis does not explicitly teach that the claimed monofilament tensile strength is 0.12 to 6.5N as required by claim 1 and the monofilament elongation is 1.5% or more as required by claim 2, it is reasonable to presume that monofilament tensile strength is 0.12 to 6.5N as required by claim 1 and the monofilament elongation is 1.5% or more as required by claim 2 is inherent to Ellis. Support for said presumption is found in the

Application/Control Number: 09/882,061

Art Unit: 1771

use of like materials (i.e. a gold alloy monofilament), which would result in the claimed property. The burden is upon the Applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594. In addition, the presently claimed property of would obviously have been present once the Ellis product is provided. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Boyd whose telephone number is 703-305-7082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday (8:30am - 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jung 1909 Jennifer Boyd April 11, 2003